



# **West Cape Howe National Park**

**and**

## **City of Albany**

### **2020 Autumn Fox and Feral Cat Monitoring and Control Program Report**

**For Torbay Catchment Group**

**April 2020**

Prepared by  
M. Butcher  
Animal Pest Management Services  
2/171 Estuary Drive, Pelican Point WA 6230  
Ph: (08) 97262537  
[www.animalpest.com.au](http://www.animalpest.com.au)



## **DISCLAIMER**

The information contained in this document is copyright to Wildlife Services Pty Ltd for the Butcher Family Trust, trading as Animal Pest Management Services (APMS) and remains the property of the Company in perpetuity. This document, or any part of it, cannot be reproduced, copied, used, disseminated or distributed to any person, electronically, verbally or otherwise without acknowledging the authors.

## **CITATION**

This report can be cited as

Butcher, M. (2020). West Cape Howe National Park and City of Albany 2020 Autumn Fox and Feral Cat Monitoring and Control Program Report For Torbay Catchment Group.

Corresponding author. [mike@animalpest.com.au](mailto:mike@animalpest.com.au)

## Introduction

West Cape Howe National Park (WCHNP) is situated in the Torbay Catchment area of South West Western Australia and provides an important refuge for the Western Ringtailed Possum (*Pseudocheirus occidentalis*) (WRTP).

The species is currently classified as Critically Endangered on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List, with a population decline of >80% in 10 years (Burbidge and Zichy-Woinarski 2017).

One of the leading factors in the continued decline of the WRTP population is the threatening processes caused by exotic species such as the introduced Red Foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and Feral cats (*Felis catus*) (Thompson *et al.* 2009; Burbidge and Zichy-Woinarski 2017).

Torbay Catchment Group (TCG) has been undertaking fox and feral cat control for several years throughout the catchment area (TCG n.d.). WCHNP is considered a source of foxes and feral cats within the catchment area with control conducted previously in 2018 by APMS. This control, consisting of 1080 baiting and trapping, was undertaken during autumn and spring of 2019. The 2018 control programs achieved a reduction of foxes and feral cats of 71% and 50% respectively.

In February 2020, survey and control was again conducted in WCHNP. City of Albany (CoA) land was also included, in the reserves of the Coastal Macro Corridor from WCHNP through to Torndirrup National Park. , The area of CoA land consisted of 2164ha, bringing the total area of land to approximately 5300ha.

The control and survey periods commenced on the 17<sup>th</sup> February and was completed on the 28<sup>th</sup> March. Two weeks of surveying using monitoring cameras and sand plots was followed by two weeks of control, then followed by a post control survey using the same methodology.

The Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) approved the baiting and trapping of foxes and feral cats within WCHNP for the TCG. Camera data from monitoring periods was used to calculate a Relative Abundance Index (RAI) of foxes and feral cats which was compared between periods of baiting and trapping to determine population trends and the effectiveness of the program.

## Methods

### Site description

The WCHNP site has been described in the previous report. CoA land is similar, with less Karri trees.

## Monitoring

Camera traps were used over the course of all three monitoring periods to detect foxes and feral cats that passed in front of them during the day and night. Three types of camera were used during the monitoring periods; Moultrie (Model M-1100i), Browning (Model BTC-5PDX) and Reconyx (Model HC 600 Hyperfyre). A total of 20 cameras were set at 24 locations.

Cameras were set to take images at high resolution in order to minimise captures that could not be attributed to a certain species as can occur when low quality images are taken (Bengsen *et al.* 2011; Meek *et al.* 2015). Cameras were secured to trees, shrubs and picket stakes, and set between the average feet and head height of a fox in order to capture full body images. Where there was substantial grass and leaves in front of the selected camera position, the camera was positioned higher to avoid the movement of the vegetation interfering with the camera.

Images captured by camera traps were collected and examined to determine the number of capture events that occurred for each camera for each day of the monitoring periods. All images taken were date and time stamped. Capture events were determined by the number of individual foxes or feral cats that were photographed at a particular camera trap on a particular day. Identifying individual foxes was not possible due to their similarity in coat while individual feral cats could on occasion be identified based on significant size differences and coat markings. Events were recorded as being when multiple captures occurred at the same camera trap in a night but with >5 minutes between photographs, except when foxes were travelling in opposite directions or multiple animals were detected on the one photograph.

Sand plots were used during all three monitoring periods as a way to passively assess the presence or absence of foxes and feral cats at multiple locations in the WCHNP. A total of 20 sand plots were set for each of the three monitoring periods and set on roads and tracks running through the WCHNP as well as CoA land. Sand plots were approximately 1 m in width across the whole track and consisted of lightly raked sand or dirt that would hold an identifiable impression of an animal's footprint. Plots were checked over three consecutive days and footprints were identified and recorded as a presence or absence score for the location.

Cameras and sand plots were placed on tracks to help increase the detection probability of foxes in the area, as foxes are known to frequently traverse tracks and paths (Mahon *et al.* 1998a, Towerin *et al.* 2011).

## Baiting

Baiting was undertaken using meat baits injected with 3.0mg of 1080 poison. Baits were laid at a maximum rate of 5 baits/km<sup>2</sup> as recommended by Thomson and Algar 2000. Baits were placed at strategic locations to increase uptake and were covered to avoid being taken or

removed by birds as birds appear to be the primary non-target group responsible for taking baits laid on the surface (Thomson and Kok 2002; Marlow *et al.* 2008; Moseby *et al.* 2011).

Baits were located across the whole WCHNP, although Shelly Beach Road, Shelley Beach, Dunsy Beach and the Bibbulmun Track were not baited. Poison baits were regularly checked and replaced if they had been taken.

CoA land was not baited as trapping was considered a feasible option on this land.

## Trapping

Traps used were Victor 1.5 Soft Catch traps within the WCHNP and Victor 1.5, #1.75 and #3 within the City of Albany reserves. Trapping in WCHNP consisted of using raised platform traps due to the requirements of DBCA to minimise captures of non-target fauna. A total of eight (8) traps were used.

Trapping was undertaken simultaneously on the City of Albany reserves between WCHNP and Torndirrup National Park, with 15 foot-hold traps and five (5) cage traps used. Trap set was using typical Animal Pest Management Services methods and proprietary fox and feral cat lures.



Pic 1: Warning signs were erected at all entrances to CoA reserves prior to trapping

## Results

### Camera Trap Results

Species captured on camera traps over the course of all three monitoring periods included; Red Foxes, Feral cats, Western Grey Kangaroos (*Macropus fuliginosus*), Australian Magpie (*Gymnorhina tibicen*), Australian Raven (*Corvus coronoides*) and Common Ringtail Possum (*Pseudocheirus peregrinus*).

A total of 20 cameras were used for the pre-control survey and post-control survey, with cameras set out for two weeks for each survey period.

SPECIES	RESERVES	EVENTS PRE- CONTROL	EVENTS POST- CONTROL
Fox	WCHNP	11	3
	CoA	32	11
Feral cat	WCHNP	5	6
	CoA	11	7

Table 1: Camera monitoring results in each reserve over 2 weeks

As in the last report, camera traps occasionally engage fox and feral cat animals attention possibly due to the audibility of the camera capturing an image, as suggested in Meek *et al.* 2015 or by appearing conspicuous.

Most of the cameras captured images of numerous movements, most of foxes, over a number of nights, potentially indicating that individual animals had regular patterns of movement that took them across the camera zone on multiple nights. This will be further discussed in the trapping section.



Pic 2: Some cameras recorded multiple animals and target species.



## **Sand Plots**

Twenty sand plots were located within each of the two reserve systems (CoA and WCHNP). The sand plots were checked for three (3) consecutive nights and this was undertaken twice for each two week period, making a total of 120 nights for each of the reserve systems.

Sand plot data was compared to the results of the camera traps to determine whether cameras captured all target animals or whether some cameras did not capture images of animals that traversed in front of the cameras. Cameras did not miss capturing images of any animals that moved in front of these cameras on any of the three (3) camera types used.

When comparing sand plot data to cameras, nights when rainfall occurred sufficient to potentially make evidence of tracks difficult to interpret, these nights were removed from the data.

## **Trapping Results**

No foxes or feral cats were caught within WCHNP during the trapping period using the eight (8) raised platform sets.

A total of 10 foxes and four (4) feral cats were trapped on CoA reserves using standard APMS trap sets and cage traps. A total of 15 foot hold traps and five (5) cage traps were used on CoA reserves.



Pic 2: A male adult fox trapped on CoA land

No non-target animals were trapped on WCHNP or City of Albany land.

A total of seven (7) male foxes and three (3) female foxes were trapped, while two (2) male and two (2) female feral cats were also trapped.

Foxes were trapped using APMS proprietary lures in combination with social scent lures, while cage traps were set with food as a single lure.

SPECIES TRAPPED	SEX	WEIGHT
Fox	Female	3.0 kg
Fox	Male	4.2 kg
Fox	Male	4.6 kg
Fox	Female	3.9 kg
Fox	Female	3.3 kg
Fox	Male	3.7 kg
Fox	Male	4.5 kg
Fox	Male	3.8 kg
Feral cat	Male	3.9 kg
Feral cat	Female	3.3 kg
Fox	Male	5.1 kg
Feral cat	Female	4.2 kg
Fox	Male	6.2 kg
Feral cat	Male	4.3 kg

Table 2: Trapping results for March 2020

The results of the trapping program in CoA reserves resulted in an estimated 66% reduction in fox population and a 47% reduction in the feral cat population.

### **Baiting Results**

Bait take was not measured as it can have significant bias if only comparing the number of baits taken against the numbers of target animals pre and post baiting.

Foxes cache baits (Thomson and Kok 2002) so the number of baits taken may not reflect the actual number consumed. In some locations, bait removal or take by non-target species can be very high (Dundas et al. 2014).

Bait placement is likely to affect the speed at which foxes encounter or find baits (Thomson and Algar 2000). Given that the location that baits were placed in this program were selected based on the extensive baiting and trapping experience of APMS staff, the relatively short time frames between baiting and post-control assessment provide a reasonable means of assessing the efficacy of the program.

The results are simply calculated by comparing pre and post baiting data from camera traps and sand plots for this report.



The results on WCHNP indicated that 1080 baiting resulted in an estimated 73% decline of foxes.

## **Data Analysis**

Fox and feral Cat numbers were calculated using a simple calculation based on pre and post assessments of the number of camera events as well as the number of tracks from foxes and feral cats that occurred on sand plots.

Relative Abundance Indices (RAI) will be analysed in more detail after the spring control program.

As described in the previous report, RAI's are often used to track changes in abundance, habitat use variation, species interactions, activity patterns and can be used to track population size changes if individual identification of animals is not viable (Burton *et al.* 2015; Kämmerle *et al.* 2018). Camera traps can be used to calculate RAI's given the assumption that photographic rates are lineally related to animal abundance (Jenks *et al.* 2011). Analysis of photographic rates of capture is a promising way of deriving RAI's and is calculated as the number of captures per camera trap night (Palmer *et al.* 2018). Camera trap nights were calculated by examining capture images to determine periods when the camera was not operational as per Kämmerle *et al.* 2018.

Sand plot presence and absence data was compared against camera trap data which was transformed from count data to presence and absence for the corresponding days in which both survey methods were used. Two tailed t-test assuming unequal variance was used to compare the two methods ability to detect the presence of Fox and Feral Cat activity in multiple locations.

## **Discussion**

The fox baiting program continues to reduce fox numbers within WCHNP.

As the CoA land had not been traversed beforehand by APMS, a considerable degree of knowledge has been gained as to where foxes and feral cats currently occur and where they are likely to be found in the future based on the experience gained during this round of surveys and trapping. This will improve the trapping programs on CoA land as well as the trapping and 1080 baiting program on WCHNP.

While foxes will reoccupy both reserves, the dispersal of young foxes into the reserve will not occur in large numbers until autumn (Thomson *et al.* 2000). There is likely to be lower numbers of foxes in autumn (Berry *et al.* 2014).

A review of baiting trials across Australia in 2007 indicated that fox reduction after poison baiting varied between 50-97% (Saunders and Mcleod 2007) so both the trapping program and fox baiting program have been successful.

The total number of trap nights on foxes within CoA reserves was 146 trap nights. The capture of 10 foxes gives a capture rate of 1 fox per 14.6 trap nights. Kay *et al.* (2000) had an average capture rate on foxes of 1 capture per 135 trap nights.

The results of trapping were similar to that achieved by 1080 poison baiting, indicating that while it is more labour intensive, it can achieve effective control if undertaken by well experienced professionals. Using a combination of baiting and trapping can achieve an even better result as trapping can remove bait shy foxes while the use of poison baits can result in a rapid “knock-down” of the overall population. This same integrated method is used to effectively manage wild dogs in the pastoral regions of Australia.

Based on the above it can be assumed that setting sand plots before a monitoring period of camera traps to determine placement of said cameras would increase detection probability and therefore the precision of the RAI. Monitoring using sand plots would also prove useful to determine optimal bait placement locations.

We also recommend that baits be placed at camera sites to improve knowledge of bait take by non-target species and effects of baiting efficiency.

## References

- Algar, D., and Brazell, R. I. (2008). A bait-suspension device for the control of feral cats. *Wildlife Research* **35**, 471–476. doi:10.1071/WR07167
- Oliver Berry, O., Tatler, J., Hamilton, N., Hilmer, S., Hitchen, Y., and Algar, D. Slow recruitment in a red-fox population following poison baiting: a non-invasive mark–recapture analysis. *Wildlife Research* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR13073>
- Burbidge, A. A., and Zichy-Woinarski, J. (2017). *Pseudocheirus occidentalis* (Western Ringtail Possum) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T18492A21963100. Available at: <https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/18492/21963100> [accessed 26 November 2019]
- Burton, A. C., Neilson, E., Moreira, D., Ladle, A., Steenweg, R., Fisher, J. T., Bayne, E., and Boutin, S. (2015). Wildlife camera trapping: A review and recommendations for linking surveys to ecological processes. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **52**, 675–685. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12432
- Comer, S., Speldewinde, P., Tiller, C., Clausen, L., Pinder, J., Cowen, S., and Algar, D. (2018). Evaluating the efficacy of a landscape scale feral cat control program using camera traps and occupancy models. *Scientific Reports* **8**. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-23495-z
- Dickman, C. R. (1996). Overview of the Impacts of Feral cats on Australian Native Fauna. *Australian Nature Conservation Agency*.
- Dundas, S.J., Adams, P. J., and Fleming, P.A. (2014). First in, first served: uptake of 1080 poison fox baits in south-west Western Australia. *Wildlife Research*, 2014, **41**, 117–126

- Fleming, P. J. S. (1997). Uptake of baits by red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*): Implications for rabies contingency planning in Australia. *Wildlife Research* **24**, 335–346. doi:10.1071/WR95016
- Glen, A. S., and Dickman, C. R. (2003). Monitoring bait removal in vertebrate pest control: A comparison using track identification and remote photography. *Wildlife Research* **30**, 29–33. doi:10.1071/WR01059
- Jenks, K. E., Chanteap, P., Kanda, D., Peter, C., Cutter, P., Redford, T., Antony, J. L., Howard, J., and Leimgruber, P. (2011). Using Relative Abundance Indices from Camera-Trapping to Test Wildlife Conservation Hypotheses – An Example from Khao Yai National Park, Thailand. *Tropical Conservation Science* **4**, 113–131. doi:10.1177/194008291100400203
- Kay, B., Gifford, E., Perry, R., van de Ven, R. (2000). Trapping efficiency for foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in central New South Wales: age and sex biases and the effects of reduced fox abundance. *Wildlife Research*, **27**, 547–552.
- Mahon, P. S., Banks, P. B., and Dickman, C. R. (1998a). Population indices for wild carnivores: a critical study in sand-dune habitat, south-western Queensland. *Wildlife Research* **25**, 11. doi:10.1071/WR97007
- Marlow, N., Thomas, N., Williams, A., Macmahon, B., Lawson, J., and Richards, L. (2008). Introduced predator control and sustained fauna recovery in south-west Western Australia: woylie decline in Dryandra Woodland: is there a mesopredator release effect? Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.
- Meek, P. D., Ballard, G.-A., and Fleming, P. J. S. (2015). The pitfalls of wildlife camera trapping as a survey tool in Australia. *Australian Mammalogy* **37**, 13. doi:10.1071/AM14023
- Moseby, K. E., Read, J. L., Galbraith, B., Munro, N., Newport, J., and Hill, B. M. (2011). The use of poison baits to control feral cats and red foxes in arid South Australia II. Bait type, placement, lures and non-target uptake. *Wildlife Research* **38**, 350–358.
- Saunders, G., and Mcleod, L. (2007). Improving Fox Management Strategies in Australia.
- Saunders, G. R., Gentle, M. N., and Dickman, C. R. (2010). The impacts and management of foxes *Vulpes vulpes* in Australia. *Mammal Review* **40**, 181–211. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.2010.00159.x
- Short, J. (2016). Predation by feral cats key to the failure of a long-term reintroduction of the western barred bandicoot (*Perameles bougainville*). *Wildlife Research*, 38–50. doi:10.1071/WR15070
- TCG Building resilience, knowledge and protection – Western Ringtail Possum – Albany Stronghold - Torbay Catchment Group. Available at: <https://torbaycatchment.org.au/building-resilience-knowledge-protection-western-ringtail-possum-2/> [accessed 26 November 2019a]
- TCG Fox Control-Torbay Catchment Group. Available at: <https://torbaycatchment.org.au/fox-control-2/> [accessed 26 November 2019b]
- Thompson, S., Thompson, S. A., and Thompson, G. G. (2009). A case for in situ

management of Western Ringtail Possums, *Pseudocheirus occidentalis*, in development areas. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **92**, 269–276. Available at: <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/> [accessed 25 November 2019]

Thomson, P. C., and Algar, D. (2000). The uptake of dried meat baits by foxes and investigations of baiting rates in Western Australia. *Wildlife Research* **27**, 451–456. doi:10.1071/WR99034

Thomson, P.C., and Kok, N.E. (2002). The fate of dried meat baits laid for fox control; The effects of bait presentation on bait take by foxes and non-target species, and on caching by foxes. *Wildlife Research* **29**, 371–377.

Towerton, A.L., Penman, T.D., Kavanagh, R.P., Dickman, C.R. (2011). Detecting pest and prey responses to fox control across the landscape using remote cameras. *Wildlife Research*, **38**, 208–220